

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

WEN-PIN LIN
WESLEY L. SHANKS

Serial No.: 10/645,807

Filed: August 21, 2003

For: MONITORING AND ADJUSTING
TRANSMIT POWER LEVEL(S) IN A
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Group Art Unit: 2618

Confirmation No.: 8034

Examiner: Tuan Hoang Nguyen

Attorney Docket: 2100.001000/LIN 5-1

CUSTOMER NO. 46290

REPRESENTATIVE'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is submitted to memorialize the telephonic interview between Examiner Tuan Hoang Nguyen and the Applicants' undersigned representative, Raymund F. Eich, which took place on April 7, 2008 regarding the above-captioned application.

It is believed that no fee is due; however, should any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 to 1.21 be required for any reason, the Director is authorized to deduct said fees from Williams, Morgan & Amerson P.C. Deposit Account 50-0786/2100.001000.

REMARKS

No exhibition was shown or demonstration conducted. No specific claims or specific prior art was discussed. No substantive amendments were discussed.

Applicants' representative initiated the interview in light of the following facts. The Examiner had made final the Office Action dated December 31, 2007. In their response filed on February 27, 2008, Applicants requested withdrawal of the finality of the Action for the reasons set forth in that paper. On March 28, 2008, the Examiner issued an Advisory Action, indicating the finality of the Office Action had not been withdrawn. Applicants' representative initiated the interview to request from the Examiner either a clarification of the reasons for making final the Office Action or withdrawal of the finality thereof.

The Examiner and the Applicants' representative reached agreement. Specifically, the Examiner stated the Office Action dated December 31, 2007 had been improperly made final; he would withdraw the finality thereof; he would mail a paper memorializing his statements; and he would reconsider the application.

The only other pertinent matter discussed was the non-entry of an amendment presented by Applicants in their response to the Office Action dated December 31, 2007. However, because it was not entered for requiring new consideration or search after a final Office Action, when the finality of the Office Action was withdrawn as discussed above, this matter was not discussed further.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.
CUSTOMER NO. 46290

By: /Raymund F. Eich/
Raymund F. Eich, Ph.D.
Reg. No. 42,508

Date: April 8, 2008

10333 Richmond, Suite 1100
Houston, Texas 77042
(713) 934-4065
(713) 934-7011 (fax)

AGENT FOR APPLICANTS